**Mid-Point Peer Review**

**Evaluator Report**

*Effective Date: August 1, 2020*

**Name of Institution:** *Click or tap here to enter text.*

**Date:** Click or tap to enter a date.

Instructions:

*Please complete all sections of this report.*

*Responses below should include sufficient analysis to support conclusions drawn and be appropriately detailed to enable the Commission to conduct an informed, complete review.*

# Section A: Analysis of Responses to Recommendations

*Evaluators should review the “Existing Recommendations” in the secure MSCHE Portal and complete this section. When doing so, complete the section of the portal titled “Existing Recommendations.”*

* *If the institution appears to be making appropriate progress on the recommendation, select “Complete” on the drop-down menu in the secure MSCHE Portal.*
* *If the institution does not appear to be making appropriate progress on the recommendation, the select “Continue” on the drop-down menu in the secure MSCHE Portal.*

1. Was the institution required to provide further evidence in conjunction with the AIU in preparation for the Mid-Point Peer Review?

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No *If “No”, skip the remainder of this section.*

2. For *each* recommendation, provide a:

* Summary of the response(s) to *each* recommendation provided by the institution.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

* Concise evaluation of overall progress made on *each* recommendation.

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

*Reminder: For each recommendation, evaluators should complete the section of the secure MSCHE Portal on “Existing Recommendations.”*

# Section B: Analysis of Trends in Student Achievement Indicators

Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report, Institutional Comments, and/or any additional student achievement uploads available in the AIU Documents, the evaluators note the following:

1. Did the institution provide student achievement indicators other than those provided in the MPPR Data Report?

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

If “Yes: please describe:

 Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Trends observed in the student achievement data:

 Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Feedback to the institution as it relates to these trends:

 Click or tap here to enter text.

[ ] [ ] [ ]

1. The link to the institution’s student achievement webpage is operational.

Yes [ ]  No [ ]

1. The student achievement information provided by the institution is reasonably:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Up to date | Yes [ ]   | No [ ]  |
| Accurate | Yes [ ]   | No [ ]  |
| Complete (all required data elements are complete) | Yes [ ]   | No [ ]  |

*If any of the above items are checked “No” please provide a brief explanation below:*

Click or tap here to enter text.

1. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

1. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern noted in this report:

Click or tap here to enter text.

# Section C: Analysis of Trends in Viability and Capacity Indicators (Enrollment)

Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (alternative measures) provided in the AIU, the evaluators note the following:

1. Trends observed in the viability and capacity data:

 *Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback to the institution as it relates to the summary of trends:

 *Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern:

# Section D: Analysis of Trends in Financial Indicators

Based on a review of the data provided in the MPPR Data Report and Institutional Comments and/or additional uploads (artifacts or alternative measures) provided in the AIU, the evaluators note the following:

1. Trends observed in the financial indicators:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

2. Feedback for the institution:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*

3. Overall Level of Concern

[ ] No/Minimal Concern

[ ] Moderate Concern

[ ] Serious Concern

4. Recommendations (*only in cases where there are moderate or serious concerns*)

[ ] No recommendations

[ ] Recommendations for areas of concern:

*Click or tap here to enter text.*